

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

Albuquerque Police Department Office of Policy Analysis (OPA)

MEETING MINUTES: 19-13

DATE: October 9, 2019

TIME: 1:00 pm - 3:00 pm

VENUE: 400 Roma Ave. NW, Chief's Conference Room, 5th Floor, LEC

ATTENDEES:

Chermaine Carter, Sgt./Compliance
Justin Montgomery, APOA
Robyn Rose, City Legal
Scott Norris, Lt./Criminal Invest. Div.

Eric Garcia, DC/Compliance

Chelsea Van Deventer, CPOAB Edward Harness, CPOAB Rick Ingram, Sgt./Homicide

Kim Prince, SOP Liaison

1. SOP 1-53 Homicide Unit

Presented by: Lt. S. Norris

Discussion:

An overview of the draft policy was presented to the Office of Policy Analysis (OPA) for review. The content of this policy was previously found in SOP 5-3 Criminal Investigations Division, which was broken into individual unit policies as part of our reorganizational initiative for the SOP Manual. The primary changes made to SOP 1-53 pertain to call-out procedures such as clarifying which team (Impact, Violent Crimes, Homicide, Crime Scene Specialist (CSS), Major Crime Scene Team (MCST) and Office of the Medical Investigator (OMI)) and/or supervisor needs to be called – who calls and when.

Suggestions were made during the meeting on how to improve clarity throughout the policy, such as; explaining why every suicide can't be treated as a homicide; explain why the Homicide Unit exists – homicide vs. violent crimes teams; defining suspicious/unexplained death vs. natural deaths; defining questionable/suspicious suicide; and clarifying the difference between an Impact and Violent Crimes Supervisor as well

	as the roles of each team. There will be further discussion about the suggestions made as the SOP moves forward through the policy process.
Action:	 The draft SOP, as presented, was reviewed by OPA and will be posted on PowerDMS for 7 Day Commentary.

2. SOP	1-66 Missing Persons Unit	Presented by:	Lt. S. Norris
Discussion:	An overview of the draft policy Analysis (OPA) for review. The found in SOP 5-3 Criminal Invinto individual unit policies as the SOP Manual. Some minor made within SOP 1-66 in the "The only suggestion made duboth the "Silver Alert" and "Britand 5).	e content of this estigations Divis part of our reorger language and grand Respring the meeting	policy was previously ion, which was broken anizational initiative for rammatical changes were consibilities" section.
Action:	The draft SOP, as pres posted on PowerDMS f		

	2-60 Preliminary and Follow-	Presented by: Lt. S. Norris		
	Up Criminal Investigations			
Discussion:				
	as well as the parameters for o	for determining the true nature of the crime. ection will occur as the policy moves through		
Action:	•	ented, was reviewed by OPA and will be		
	posted on PowerDMS for 7 Day Commentary.			

4. SOP	2-64 ID, Interviewing, and	Presented by: Lt. S. Norris	
De	etention of Witnesses to Crime		
Discussion:	An overview of the draft policy was presented to the Office of Policy		
	Analysis (OPA) for review. This policy will be removed from the SOP		
	Manual; the information will be	e incorporated into other related policies.	
Action:	3. The draft SOP, as presented, was reviewed by OPA and will be		
	posted on PowerDMS for 7 Day Commentary.		

5. SOP	2-67 Photographic Array and	Presented by:	Lt. S. Norris
	Field Identifications		
Discussion:	Analysis (OPA) for review. This policy was due for an annual review. For simplicity and clarity, there were minor language changes made throughout the policy. The only topic of discussion during the meeting was started by City Legal, who has questions regarding the criteria for "Photographic Arrays" (section 2-67-2(A)) and "Field Identification" (section 2-67-2(C)). It was suggested that some additional language be added for clarity, but City Legal will be in contact with the policy owner to discuss concerns as well as possible solutions.		
Action:	 The draft SOP, as pres posted on PowerDMS f 		-

6. SOP	2-68 Interviews and	Presented by:	Lt. S. Norris
	Interrogations		
Discussion:	An overview of the draft policy was presented to the Office of Policy		
	Analysis (OPA) for review. This policy was due for an annual review.		
	There was clarifying language added throughout this policy regarding		
	interrogations and witness statements.		
	During the meeting, discussion occurred regarding the policy statement and whether reference should be made to the United States and New Mexico Constitutions as well as siting case law. It was suggested that Miranda Rights (section 2-68-4(C)(1)(b)) be defined. In this same section, it was noted that there is conflicting language regarding the writing or recording of interrogations. Further discussion will take place regarding these issues as the SOP moves forward through the policy		
	process.		
Action:	The draft SOP, as pres		
	posted on PowerDMS f	or 7 Day Comme	entary.